Wednesday August the 30th 1780

Present the same judges as Yesterday

Mr John Beckley the Clerk of this Court having neglected to attend his duty Yesterday and this day, and it appearing that the said Mr Beckley as Clerk of the high Court of Chancery hath failed to furnish when required a transcript of the Record in the appeal of Hite and others against lord Fairfax which was depending and undetermined before the King in Council in order for the prosecution of the said appeal in this Court it is ordered that unless the said Mr Beckley shall at the next session of this Court make a reasonable excuse for such his conduct the same shall then be animadverted upon till which time the consideration of a proper allowance to be made him as clerk of this Court is suspended.

The Oath for giving assurance of Fidelity to the Commonwealth and the oath of an Attorney were in open Court administered unto Edmund Randolph Esq. John Taylor and Bartlet Williams Gent.

The president of the Court produced a a letter from his excellency the Governor consigning to the care of this Court that

part of the library which belonged to the Governor and Council under the regal Government upon the subject of law, and informing them that they had been delivered to Mr Edmund Randolph but he having acquainted the Court that he never received the said Books and that they are not in a proper state of security

Ordered that the said Mr Edmund Randolph be appointed and requested to collect such law Books as belong to the library aforesaid and were designed by the Governor and Council for the use of the superior Courts including as well those contained in the list transmitted as any others which may come to his knowledge as being in the hands of any other person, that he provide a proper place for their reception and arrange them in due order certifying to the Auditors of Public accounts the necessary expenses in discharging this business in order that the same may be paid by the public.

Samuel Staples appellants against David Staples respondent } Upon an appeal from the high Court of Chancery

continued till the next Court on the motion of the appellant liberty being reserved to the respondent to except to such continuance either on account of the appeal not being